VENABLE LL.P2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2300
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
310.229.9900

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VENABLE LLP 1 Joshua M. Rosenberg (SBN 274473) 2 JMRosenberg@venable.com Armound Ghoorchian (SBN 332645) 3 AGhoorchian@venable.com 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067 4 Telephone: 310.229.9900 5 Facsimile: 310.229.9901 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff REBECCA DRUCKER 7

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT

REBECCA DRUCKER, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

ENRIQUE MARTIN MORALES, p/k/a RICKY MARTIN, an individual; RICKY MARTIN ENTERPRISES, INC., a Puerto Rico Corporation; GANESHA TOURING, INC., a Delaware Corporation; GARRET GLASS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 228TCV21090

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR:

- 1) BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT;
- 2) BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING;
- 3) **QUANTUM MERUIT**;
- 4) UNJUST ENRICHMENT;
- 5) PROMISSORY FRAUD;
- 6) NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION; AND
- 7) ACCOUNTING.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff Rebecca Drucker, by and through her attorneys of record, alleges the following causes of action against Defendants Enrique Martin Morales, p/k/a Ricky Martin, Ricky Martin Enterprises Inc., Ganesha Touring, Inc., Garret Glass, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive (collectively, "Defendants"), and each of them, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Rebecca Drucker saved Ricky Martin's career. Martin is a world-famous singer, songwriter, and actor. Rebecca is a talent manager who initially represented Martin from 2014-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2018. In or around May 2020, with his personal and professional life in absolute turmoil, Martin begged Rebecca to come back and represent him as his manager.

- 2. Rebecca agreed and resurrected Martin's career in every way. She provided him with invaluable services as his manager and top advisor. Rebecca guided Martin on his recording contracts, touring and sponsorship deals, and other professional endeavors. Rebecca worked tirelessly for Martin, including on his recent North American tour with Enrique Iglesias. With Rebecca at his side, Martin made millions of dollars and therefore owes Rebecca substantial commissions. Not since "Menudo" and his meteoric rise as a solo artist in the late 1990s has Martin enjoyed such success.
- Rebecca has also fiercely protected Martin. When Martin was threatened with a 3. potentially career-ending allegation in September 2020, Rebecca advised him and brought in top litigation counsel to handle the matter. Martin emerged unscathed and proceeded with his professional resurgence. Now set to play a lead role in the highly-anticipated Apple TV+ series "Mr. and Mrs. American Pie," Martin is once again primed to reach the heights of fame and fortune.
- 4. There is just one problem: Martin completely and maliciously refused to pay Rebecca the millions of dollars in commissions that he owes her under their management agreement. By his flagrant breach of contract, Martin betrayed Rebecca and took for granted her loyalty, dedication, and exceptional service to him. What is worse, Martin fostered a toxic work environment wherein he constantly mistreated, manipulated, and lied to Rebecca, forcing her to resign as his manager in April 2022. Martin has now threatened Rebecca and is attempting to force her to sign an agreement with a nondisclosure clause to silence Rebecca about the abhorrent behavior by Martin that she has both witnessed and endured.
- 5. Rebecca will not be silenced. For years, she protected Martin from the consequences of his reckless indiscretions. Rebecca did so not only because she was his manager, but also because she thought that Martin was her dear friend.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. No longer. By this Complaint, Rebecca seeks compensation for the money she is owed as Martin's manager and for the harm she has suffered as a result of the wrongful conduct of Martin and the other Defendants.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Rebecca Drucker ("Rebecca") is an individual currently residing in Los Angeles County, California.
- 8. Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Enrique Martin Morales, p/k/a Ricky Martin ("Martin") is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California. During all relevant times herein, Martin resided and regularly transacted business in Los Angeles County, California.
- 9. Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Ricky Martin Enterprises, Inc., ("RME") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Puerto Rico with its principal place of business located in San Juan, Puerto Rico. During all relevant times herein, RME regularly transacted business in Los Angeles County, California and even held office space in Los Angeles County, California. Therefore, this Court has both general and specific personal jurisdiction over RME.
- 10. Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Ganesha Touring, Inc., ("Ganesha") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business located in Los Angeles County, California.
- 11. Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Garret Glass ("Glass") is an individual residing in Cook County, Illinois. Glass is an attorney who represents Martin. During all relevant times herein, Glass regularly transacted business in Los Angeles County, California. Therefore, this Court has both general and specific personal jurisdiction over Glass.
- 12. Rebecca is unaware of the true names and capacities of the defendants sued as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and she, therefore, sues these defendants by fictitious names. Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the Doe Defendants is in some manner liable to Rebecca. Rebecca will amend this Complaint to state the true names and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

capacities of DOES 1 through 20 when their names and capacities, along with their responsibility for the actionable conduct alleged herein, have been ascertained.

- 13. Defendants Martin, RME, Ganesha, Glass, and/or DOES 1 through 20 are at times referred to herein collectively as "Defendants."
- Rebecca is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants were, 14. at all times mentioned, the agents, servants, principals, alter egos, and employees of each other, or otherwise acting with the full knowledge and consent of each other. Rebecca is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that in doing all of the things alleged in this Complaint, Defendants were acting in the scope and authority of their agency, servitude or employment or otherwise within the scope of such knowledge and consent. As such, each of the Defendants is responsible for the liabilities of the other Defendants, as alleged herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 15. Jurisdiction is proper in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles pursuant to section 410.10 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.
- 16. Venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California pursuant to sections 392 et seq. of the California Code of Civil Procedure because Rebecca's causes of action arose in Los Angeles County, the parties conducted business in Los Angeles County, and Los Angeles County is where the contract at issue was entered into, where it was performed, and where the breaches occurred.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 17. Rebecca is a talent manager who represents clients in the music, film, and television industries.
- 18. Martin is a world-famous singer, songwriter, and actor. Martin began his music career as a member of the boy band "Menudo," which is the subject of the recently-released and controversial documentary on HBO Max called "Menudo: Forever Young."
- 19. Martin rose to international superstardom as a solo recording artist and performer upon the release of his hit song "Livin' La Vida Loca" in 1999. Martin was no longer a teen idol; he was a pop icon dubbed "The King of Latin Pop."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20. Martin met Rebecca in the early-2000s. They became fast friends and traveled the world together. Rebecca began working for Martin on projects in or around July 2013. In 2014, Martin officially hired Rebecca to serve as his manager. Rebecca brought in Glass, an attorney Rebecca has known for many years and who she trusted to act in Martin's best interests and to help obtain the best deals possible for him.

- 21. With Rebecca as his manager, Martin continued to enjoy professional and personal success. On the professional side, among other endeavors, Martin released numerous successful records, embarked on wildly popular tours like the 131-show "One World Tour" and the "All In" concert residency in Las Vegas, and appeared in numerous television shows, including the FX true crime anthology television series "The Assassination of Gianni Versace: American Crime Story." On the personal side, Martin raised his twin sons and, in 2017, he married his current husband, Jwan Yosef, a critically-acclaimed artist and painter.
- 22. However, in or around February 2018, after a particularly ugly incident in Dubai involving Martin and his representative José Vega and other disputes, Rebecca resigned as Martin's manager.
- On information and belief, Martin's career took a nosedive after Rebecca left. 23. Martin's business and finances suffered. His relationship with his advisors fractured. Martin's personal life was in disarray. Martin reached out to Rebecca but she did not respond, so he asked their mutual friends to convince Rebecca to speak with him. Rebecca refused for months, but then she finally gave in and made up with Martin. Rebecca understood that people make mistakes and was willing to give her professional relationship with Martin another shot.
- 24. Accordingly, in or around May 2020, Rebecca, on the one hand, and Martin, RME, and Ganesha (collectively, the "Martin Entities"), on the other hand, entered into a standard oral talent management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). Pursuant to the Management Agreement, Rebecca agreed to perform talent management services on a daily basis, including without limitation, managing every facet of Martin's career, working closely with his agents, publicists, business managers and attorneys, advising him on career strategies, and guiding him on appropriate steps to establish and further his career in the music and entertainment industry.

25. In exchange for Rebecca's services, the Martin Entities agreed to pay her a commission of five percent (5%) of all gross income generated from any and all projects that were procured, negotiated, or for which Rebecca performed services during the term of the Management Agreement, regardless of whether the Martin Entities received the compensation or performed the services during or after the discharge of Rebecca's services as the Martin Entities' manager, including 5% of any gross income received by way of contract extension or additional terms for those projects. In other words, and as is standard in the entertainment industry, even if the term of the Management Agreement ended, the Martin Entities are still obligated to pay Rebecca commissions on those projects Martin procured or substantially negotiated while Rebecca was his talent manager. Such sums or consideration include any and all monies or compensation of any kind or character including, but not limited to, advances, guaranteed compensation, salaries, royalties, contingent compensation, profit participation, and any other valuable consideration received by the Martin Entities.

- 26. Rebecca performed all of her duties and obligations as the Martin Entities' manager under the Management Agreement, including, but not limited to, advising and working with the Martin Entities on agreements and Martin's performance on records, tours, sponsorships, television shows, and other professional endeavors.
- 27. Rebecca not only acted to advance Martin's career but also rendered services to protect it. In one example among many, in or around September 2020, Martin received a letter from a highly-respected litigation attorney in Los Angeles, California. Rebecca stood next to Martin as they read the legal letter for the first time. In that letter, the attorney threatened to publicly file a legal complaint against Martin if he did not pay money to resolve a claim against him alleged by this attorney's client. After reading the legal letter, Martin collapsed. He expressed his extreme fear to Rebecca that if this threat were carried out, the consequences to Martin would be catastrophic.
- 28. In response, Rebecca quickly brought in one of the top defense attorneys in Los Angeles who Rebecca had previously retained for a client. Based on Rebecca's advice, Martin retained this prominent litigator to defend against this devastating claim. Needless to say, the

claim ultimately never saw the light of day. Once again, Rebecca saved Martin's career with the exceptional managerial and advisory services that she provided to him during this ordeal.

- 29. This was par for the course for Rebecca's management of Martin. Although Martin's career flourished since he re-hired Rebecca as his manager in May 2020, she was forced to deal with a litany of Martin's personal issues, such as problems with the nanny he hired to care for his children, Martin's nonpayment of taxes, and his substance abuse, among other issues.
- 30. Dealing with these matters took its toll on Rebecca, and while it was all "part of the job," she was not receiving the compensation from the Martin Entities that she deserved.
- 31. On July 30, 2021, due to a communication breakdown, Rebecca emailed Martin notifying him she was resigning her position as his manager. In response, Martin begged Rebecca to remain as his manager and he promised to pay her a ten percent (10%) commission on gross income under the Management Agreement moving forward instead of the five percent (5%) they agreed to in May 2020. As a result of Martin's promise and offer to increase her commission which Glass later reaffirmed to Rebecca she accepted and withdrew her resignation.
- 32. Yet the toxicity in Ricky Martin's world persisted. Defendants concealed lucrative deals from Rebecca, disparaged her behind her back, and consistently lied to her about money that Defendants received. Worst of all, and the ultimate betrayal by Martin, is that despite her repeated requests, the Martin Entities failed to pay Rebecca the commissions that they owed to her. When Defendants realized that the Martin Entities owed Rebecca millions of dollars in commissions, they outright refused to pay her anything. Consequently, Rebecca resigned as Martin's manager in or around April 2022.
- 33. Under the Management Agreement, the Martin Entities are obligated to pay Rebecca commissions on gross income generated from any and all deals that were procured, negotiated, or for which Rebecca performed services during the term of the Management Agreement, including but not limited to:
 - Elite Talent Agreement: November 27, 2018 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$55,000 in commissions (retroactively applied);

	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	
1 2	9
	9
 2	9 0 1
2	9 0 1
2 2 2	9 0 1 2
2 2 2	9 0 1 4
2 2 2	9 0 1 2 3
2 2 2 2	9 0 1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2	9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- **Nescafe** (Talent Agreement): March 5, 2019 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$240,000 in commissions (retroactively applied);
- **Kumiko**: January 1, 2021 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$250,000 in commissions;
- Live Nation Worldwide Tour Deal: 2021 This deal is a 26-city, North American Tour. On information and belief, Rebecca is owed \$857,250 in commissions for the U.S. leg and \$435,000 in commissions for the Mexico leg;
- **Smirnoff Product Placement Agreement**: May 21, 2021 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$40,000 in commissions;
- Nescafe (Talent Agreement): May 24, 2021 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$145,000 in commissions;
- Sony Recording Contract: September 10, 2021 On information and belief,
 Rebecca is owed at least \$500,000 in commissions for the renegotiated label
 contract;
- Hollywood Bowl Shows: January 1, 2022 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$100,000 in commissions;
- **NFT Collaboration Agreement**: February 2022 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$200,000 in commissions;
- **Terrelique**: February 7, 2022 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$100,000 in commissions;
- Norwegian Cruise Line ("NCL"): March 30, 2022 On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$165,000 in commissions; and
- Various Music Negotiations: On information and belief, Rebecca is owed at least \$250,000 in commissions for Martin's publishing contract, and Martin's "points" on music.
- 34. The Martin Entities have <u>not</u> paid commissions to Rebecca on the deals listed above and more, including commissionable income of which she is not yet aware or is yet to discover. Based on the foregoing, Rebecca alleges on information and belief that commissions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

are due and owing to her from the Martin Entities in an amount not presently known, but believed to be in excess of USD \$3,000,000, or according to proof, and unpaid commissions are accruing on an ongoing basis.

35. Rebecca alleges on information and belief, that in the future, the Martin Entities may receive additional compensation in connection with Martin's services as a singer, songwriter, actor, sponsor, and influencer, among other professional activities. Rebecca anticipates that the Martin Entities will also refuse to pay Rebecca her 10% commission to which she is entitled based on said future gross income.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Oral Contract – Against Defendants Martin, RME, and Ganesha)

- 36. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- The Management Agreement is a valid and enforceable oral contract between 37. Rebecca, on the one hand, and Defendants Martin, RME, and Ganesha, on the other hand.
- 38. Rebecca has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on her part with respect to the terms and conditions of the Management Agreement alleged herein, except as excused, waived, or made impossible by Defendants.
- 39. The Management Agreement between Rebecca and the Martin Entities contained proper consideration. Rebecca provided services in return for commissions, and the Martin Entities promised to pay for the services in the form of the agreed commissions.
- 40. Defendants Martin, RME, and Ganesha have materially breached the Management Agreement as alleged above, including, but not limited to, refusing to pay Rebecca all amounts owed under the Management Agreement.
- 41. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Rebecca has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, which amount exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of The Implied Covenant of Good Faith and

Fair Dealing – Against Defendants Martin, RME, and Ganesha)

- 42. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Implied in every contract, including the Management Agreement alleged herein, is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing among the parties thereto that no party will do anything to interfere with another party's enjoyment of its contractual rights and benefits, and that each contracting party will do everything that the contract presupposes it will do to accomplish the contract's purpose.
- Defendants Martin, RME, and Ganesha have breached the covenant of good faith 44. and fair dealing implied in the Management Agreement alleged herein by engaging in bad faith conduct intended to frustrate Rebecca's right to receive the benefits of the Management Agreement.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Rebecca has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, which amount exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Quantum Meruit – Against All Defendants)

- 46. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. Rebecca alleges this claim in the alternative, and only necessary if the finder of fact determines that the Management Agreement is not enforceable or fails to cover Rebecca's fees.
- 48. Rebecca performed all services described herein for Defendants in good faith and at Defendants' request.
- 49. Defendants readily and without Complaint accepted all such services that Rebecca provided on Defendants' behalf and for their benefit.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

50.	At all times relevant, all parties clearly understood and agreed that Rebecca would
be compensate	d for her services provided on Defendants' behalf and for their strict benefit.

51. Despite receiving these benefits and services and continuing to yield substantial value from each, Defendants have failed and/or refused to compensate Rebecca for the reasonable value of all her services provided on behalf of Defendants and for Defendants' benefit. The fair and reasonable compensation for Rebecca's services provided to Defendants is a sum in excess of USD \$3,000,000, subject to discovery and accounting, and in an amount to be proven at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment – Against All Defendants)

- 52. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. Rebecca alleges this claim in the alternative, and only necessary, if the finder of fact determines that the Management Agreement is not enforceable or fails to cover Rebecca's fees.
- 54. Rebecca conferred a benefit upon Defendants when she performed specialized and valuable services described herein for Defendants' express benefit.
 - 55. Defendants have knowledge of this benefit.
 - 56. Defendants accepted and continue to retain the conferred benefits.
- Under the circumstances, it would be inequitable for Defendants to retain the 57. acceptable benefits without paying Rebecca for her services.
- 58. Rebecca performed specialized and valuable services for Defendants' express benefit with the expectation of payment being made to her. Defendants did not protest the receipt of such services.
- 59. Rebecca has made multiple demands upon Defendants to remit payment to her for the services that Defendants received.
- 60. Defendants' failure to fully-remunerate Rebecca for the services provided has directly and proximately caused damage to her. Defendants' receipt and retention of the financial benefits of Rebecca's services is unfair and improper under the circumstances. Defendants will

be unjustly enriched if they are allowed to retain the benefits of the ordered services at Rebecca's expense.

61. As such, Defendants should be required to disgorge the money representing the value of Rebecca's services that they retained as a result of their unjust enrichment.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Promissory Fraud – All Defendants)

- 62. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. In both May 2020 and July 2021, Martin and Glass (on behalf of themselves individually and the other Defendants) made oral promises to Rebecca about the payment of commissions to her. They did so without any present intention of performing the promises or causing them to be performed or realized and with the intent to induce Rebecca to perform services for Martin, RME, and Ganesha, and for the financial benefit of all Defendants.
- 64. At the time Rebecca acted in reliance upon the promises, she did not know that Defendants had made them without any intention of performing or causing them to be performed or realized, and instead reasonably believed them to be true.
 - 65. Defendants' promises were false in all material respects.
- 66. In justifiable reliance upon the truth of Defendants' promises, however, Rebecca provided services, expertise, and her network to Defendants.
 - 67. If Rebecca had known the actual facts, she would not have provided such services.
- 68. Rebecca did not discover the full extent of these promises having been made with no intent to perform until April 2022 when Defendants confirmed to Rebecca that they had no intention of paying commissions owed to her.
- 69. Rebecca's reliance on Defendants' promise was a substantial factor in causing the harm.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of the promise made without intent to perform the Management Agreement as alleged herein, Rebecca is entitled to damages in excess of USD \$3,000,000.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

71. Defendants are guilty of oppression and willful misconduct in doing the things alleged herein by reason of which Rebecca is entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Misrepresentation – All Defendants)

- 72. Rebecca incorporates by reference all of the foregoing and subsequent allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants' assertion that Rebecca would receive 10% commission was an 73. assertion of an untrue fact.
- When Defendants made the representations, they had no reasonable grounds for 74. believing them to be true, but negligently made them with the intent to induce Rebecca to perform services and provide Defendants with her connections and expertise.
- 75. At the time Rebecca acted in reliance upon the representations, she did not know that Defendants had made them negligently with no reasonable grounds for believing them to be true, and instead, Rebecca reasonably believed them to be true.
 - 76. The representations were false in all material respects.
- 77. In justifiable reliance upon the truth of Defendants' representations, however, Rebecca provided services, expertise, and her network to Defendants.
 - 78. If Rebecca had known the actual facts, she would not have provided such services.
- 79. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent misrepresentation alleged herein, Rebecca is entitled to damages in excess of USD \$3,000,000.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Accounting Against All Defendants)

- 80. Rebecca re-alleges herein by this reference each and every allegation above as if set forth fully herein.
- 81. Rebecca alleges on information and belief that Defendants received certain sums from Martin's work, a portion of which is due to Rebecca pursuant to the Management Agreement.

1	82.	The exact amount of money due and owing from the Martin Entities to Rebecca	
2	pursuant to the terms of the Management Agreement is unknown to Rebecca and cannot be		
3	ascertained without an accounting of the compensation received in connection with Rebecca's		
4	performance on deals pursuant to the terms of those agreements.		
5	83.	Rebecca alleges upon information and belief that Defendants have access to such	
6	information,	but they have not provided Rebecca with the same. Rebecca does not have access to	
7	such information.		
8	84.	As a proximate result of Defendants' failure to provide an accounting, an order	
9	from the Cou	art is required for Defendants to provide a full accounting of compensation received	
10	by Defendants in connection with income that the Martin Entities received from those		
11	agreements.		
12		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rebecca Drucker prays for judgment to be entered in her favor		
14	and against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:		
15	A.	For general and special damages in an amount to be proven at trial;	
16	В.	For punitive and exemplary damages in the maximum amount permitted by law;	
17	C.	For an accounting under supervision of the Court of the amounts due and payable	
18	by Defendants to Rebecca in accordance with the Management Agreement alleged herein;		
19	D.	For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate;	
20	E.	For costs of suit in this action; and	
21	F.	For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
22			
23	Dated: June	29, 2022 VENABLE LLP	
24		De Maria	
25		By: Joshua M. Rosenberg	
26		Armound Ghoorchian	
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff REBECCA DRUCKER	
28		REDECCA DRUCKER	

VENABLE LLP 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 310.229.9900

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff Rebecca Drucker hereby demands trial by jury on all issues and causes of action triable by jury.

Dated: June 29, 2022 VENABLE LLP

By: ______ Joshua M. Rosenberg Armound Ghoorchian

Attorneys for Plaintiff REBECCA DRUCKER

DT 4

COMPLAINT